UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia-22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,409	02/10/2004	David C. Kelman	10557/296452	2422
30559 7 CHIEF PATEN	7590 01/16/2007 T COUNSEL		EXAMINER	
SMITH & NEPH	HEW, INC.	REIMERS, ANNETTE R		
1450 BROOKS ROAD MEMPHIS, TN 38116		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3733	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	. DELIVER	Y MODE
21 DAVS		01/16/2007	DAD	DED .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

, w			
Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/776,409	KELMAN, DAVID C.	KELMAN, DAVID C.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Annette R. Reimers	3733		

Office Action Summary

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply						
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time rafter SIX (6) MONT: - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply with Any reply received to	S LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF T may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e HS from the mailing date of this communication.	vent, however, may a reply be timely filed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. plication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐ This actio	n is FINAL . 2b) This action is	non-final.				
3)☐ Since this	application is in condition for allowance excep	t for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Clai	ims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	4) Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the	above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) _	is/are rejected.	·				
	is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>í</u>	<u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction and/or election re	equirement.				
Application Papers	S					
9)☐ The specif	fication is objected to by the Examiner.	•				
10)∏ The drawii	ng(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b	o) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant r	may not request that any objection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replaceme	ent drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requi	ired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath o	or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119					
	dgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	nder 35 U.S.C. §.119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
	ces Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftspe	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclo Paper No(s)/Mail I	osure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Date	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14 and 24-41, drawn to a system for stabilization of an implant, classified in class 623, subclass 23.58.
- II. Claims 15-23 and 42-51 drawn to a method installing/stabilizing a prosthetic implant, classified in class 623, subclass 16.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as a method for testing and research purposes of a resorbable device, e.g. evaluating the degradation rate.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,409

Art Unit: 3733

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR

W.

SPF 3733